Strategies for patient safety

Beyond burnout: Cognitive load as a driver of clinical risk

by Gioia Guarino and Hannah Tremont, MPH

Burnout among healthcare professionals continues to draw national attention, and popular culture is helping bring those conversations into the mainstream. Recently, HBO's The Pitt, which follows a single day shift in a Pittsburgh emergency department, captured this dynamic vividly by portraying an emergency physician navigating an unrelenting stream of interruptions, emotionally charged decisions, and escalating patient care over the course of a working period. As the character's exhaustion and emotional distress intensify, the show illustrates how sustained cognitive strain can impair focus, communication, and emotional regulation long before clinicians recognize they are struggling.

Burnout is often treated as an individual wellness issue. But in healthcare settings, the underlying operational problem may be cognitive overload, the accumulation of mental demands that erodes clinical decision-making and increases patient safety risks.

These portrayals resonate because they reflect the cumulative impact of unrelenting cognitive load, chronic stress, and inadequate recovery time in healthcare environments. They also raise a critical question:

How does cognitive overload affect clinical decision-making and patient safety?

Cognitive Overload

Cognitive overload is not solely a function of patient complexity. In many cases, it is the cumulative effect of competing operational, regulatory, and clinical demands placed on providers simultaneously.

Cognitive overload can contribute to patient safety risks such as:

- Missed clinical cues or delayed recognition of patient deterioration
- Medication or documentation errors
- Communication breakdowns during handoffs
- Reliance on shortcuts or heuristics under pressure
- Reduced situational awareness and attention

Understanding the Link Between Cognitive Load and Burnout

Cognitive load describes the mental effort required to perform clinical tasks in real time. Burnout is often the downstream consequence of sustained overload. In other words, burnout may be the outcome clinicians experience, while cognitive overload is the operational condition organizations can address.

Research suggests that cognitive overload can cause significant harm to provider well-being and patient safety and may even contribute to an increased risk of malpractice claims.

A national study assessed a group of physicians' subjective workload and perceived burnout using the NASA Task Load Index. Task load was measured by mental, physical, and temporal demands, as well as perceived effort. Burnout was measured using emotional exhaustion and depersonalization scales.

The results reinforced a clear relationship between increasing task load and rising burnout levels. The close association between burnout and task load suggests that reducing cognitive, physical, and temporal demands within practice environments may improve clinician well-being and reduce risk.

For providers who already face consistently high work demands, tolerance for additional cognitive burden may be lower then in many other professions. Healthcare providers often have a strong professional identity and deep emotional investment in their work, which can make them particularly susceptible to cognitive overload.

The conversation around burnout has evolved significantly in recent years. While healthcare organizations increasingly recognize burnout as both an operational and patient safety concern, translating that awareness into operational strategies that actively reduce unnecessary cognitive burden remains an ongoing challenge.

While many healthcare organizations have implemented wellness programs, peer support initiatives, and resilience training, these efforts may have limited impact if the operational sources of excessive cognitive demand remain unchanged. Organizational interventions have been found to be slightly more effective than individual-level programs.

System Redesign

Workload distribution, staffing models, documentation requirements, and technology design all shape how much cognitive effort clinicians must expend throughout the day. Reducing unnecessary complexity in these areas can help preserve cognitive capacity for critical decision-making.

Many healthcare systems are already working to reduce administrative burden and redesign workflows, but persistent staffing pressures, documentation requirements, throughput demands, and fragmented technologies continue to place substantial cognitive demands on clinicians.

Supporting cognitive recovery in the workplace can include:

- Short breaks and resets
- Pauses after high-intensity events
- Redistribution of workload when possible
- Protected time for documentation and administrative tasks
- Workflow and technology improvements that reduce unnecessary interruptions

Reducing cognitive overload is not simply a workforce wellness initiative. It is a patient safety strategy. Organizations that redesign systems to preserve clinicians' cognitive capacity are better positioned to support sound decision-making, reduce risk, and improve outcomes for both patients and providers.

Additional Resources

- The Case for Comprehensive Burnout Solutions
- How Application Forms and Burnout Threaten MD Mental Health and Patient Safety

POWERED BY Candello